

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/777,246 12/31/96 OISHI K 35.G1868 **EXAMINER** 005514 LM02/0922 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO SONG.H 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK NY 10112 2766 **DATE MAILED:** 09/22/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/777,246

Kazuomi Oishi

Office Action Summary Examiner

7

Ho S. Song

Group Art Unit 2766



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jul 6, 1999</u>	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num)	her\
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	
Attachment(s)	
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	•
- Notice of informatifatent Application, 110-102	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	AF FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/777,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 2766

1. Claims 1-20 and 26-27 are pending. The previous grounds of rejection based on Friedman are withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments in the Amendment filed July 6, 1999. However, newly discovered prior art has necessitated new grounds of rejection. The new grounds of rejection are presented below. The delay in citation of the newly discovered prior art is regretted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 3. Claims 1-20,26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman in view of Davies.
- 4. In claims 1-2, Friedman teaches inputting first information which could be image file, Private key is stored in the storage and Digital signature is generated based upon the first information and the secret key information in (figure 2, page 907). Friedman however, does not teach storing secret key information which fed from an external device. Davies discloses storing a secret key in an external device in (fig.1 and col.4, lines 45-50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store secret key information

Application/Control Number: 08/777,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 2766

in external device as taught in Davies for storing a secret key information internally in the system of Friedman because by storing a secret key information in an external device, it reduces the storage amounts of the system and if whole system is stolen or tampered by unknown attackers, attackers will not have an access to the secret key information becasue it is stored externally therefore security is greatly stengthen.

Claim 10 is rejected based on claims 1-2.

In claims 3,11, Friedman teaches generating a digital signature carries ou an operation and outputs digital signature in(figure 2 of page 907).

In claim 4 and 12, Friedman teaches public key cryptography operation in (page 905, third paragraph and figure 1 of page 906).

Claims 6-7 differs from above claims 1-2 in that in claim 6 information is compressed by compressing means. The examiner asserts that it is well known in the art to compress data or information to have faster data transfer rate as well as not reducing the memory capacity. Davies discloses external device stores secret key corresponding to a registered user in(col.5, lines 67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store secret key corresponding to a registered user in external device so that only it can filter out from authorized to nonauthorized users therefore security can be enhanced greatly.

In claim 8, Friedman discloses generating carries out an operation and outputs distinguishing information in (fig.2, page 907).

Application/Control Number: 08/777,246

Art Unit: 2766

Page 4

In claims 5,9,13,17, Friedman does not teach using a RSA cryptosystem to obtain a digital signature. The examiner asserts that using RSA cryptosystem to obtain a digital signature is well known art because it's reliable and secure.

As per claim 14, Friedman teaches original message(image file) is inputted from first terminal the examiner asserts that there must be a terminal in order to process image data and second terminal device for having a memory for storing secret information and Friedman discloses an operator for executing a command based on an algorithm for generating a digital signature by using the image data and the secret information in (figure 2 of page 907). The examiner asserts that one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use this scheme because extra security for certification would be provided if two terminal would be used one for storing private key and other for inputting image data instead of having one terminal to perform whole operation.

As per claim 15, Friedman teaches public key cryptography operation in (page 5, third paragraph and figure 1 of page 906).

As per claim 16, Friedman discloses secret key in (fig.2, page 907).

As per claims 18-20, see claims rejection 6-7 above, for discussion of compression techniques in general, further, the examiner asserts that applicant uses well known forms of compression techniques for video data. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to use one of these well known techniques for the advantages they possess.

Application/Control Number: 08/777,246

Art Unit: 2766

In claims 26-27 see claim rejection 1-2 above.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant have cancelled claims 21-25. Abstract have been amended and not objected by

the examiner. Applicant have amended claim 11, therefore previous rejection for claims 11-13

under USC 112 are withdrawn.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ho S. Song at telephone

number (703)305-0042. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from

7:30 a.m to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gail Hayes, can be reached at (703) 305-9711.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or preceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800. Fax

number is (703) 305-9731.

HO SONG

JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

GROUP 2700